

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

7 DARNELL RILEY,

8
9
10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 OFFICER BUCHMANN AND
13 OFFICER PEGRAM,

14 Defendants.

) No. CV-06-233-LRS

) **ORDER GRANTING**
) **DEFENDANTS' MOTION**
) **FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

15 On November 20, 2007, Defendants filed a Motion For Dismissal Or, In The
16 Alternative, Summary Judgment (Ct. Rec. 26) seeking judgment on the Plaintiff's 4th
17 Amendment excessive force claim. This is the sole claim alleged in Plaintiff's
18 complaint. The motion was noted for hearing without oral argument on January 11,
19 2008.

20 Plaintiff has not filed a response to the motion. It appears that at the March 7,
21 2007 telephonic scheduling conference conducted in this matter, Plaintiff advised the
22 court of a new address at Washington Corrections Center (WCC) in Shelton,
23 Washington. This new address was unfortunately not officially put into the court's
24 system until January 31, 2008. It is inconsequential, however, in light of the fact that
25 Defendants did attempt to serve their motion on the Defendant at WCC. According
26 to Laurie Farnsworth, an assistant to counsel for Defendants, the last known address
27 for the Plaintiff, as of March 1, 2007, was WCC. Farnsworth says she contacted
28

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT- 1

1 WCC on November 15, 2007 and was informed that Plaintiff had been released from
2 custody on April 2007 and was no longer in custody in any Washington State
3 correctional facility. (Ct. Rec. 32). A copy of this court's January 7, 2008 "Order
4 Vacating Remaining Pre-Trial Dates And The Trial Date" (Ct. Rec. 40) was sent to
5 the Plaintiff at WCC. The Plaintiff is obligated to keep the court and opposing
6 counsel apprised of any changes in his address and in his custody status. He has
7 failed to do so and therefore, his failure to respond to Defendant's motion cannot be
8 excused. The last time the Plaintiff had any contact with the court or opposing
9 counsel was during the telephonic scheduling conference conducted on March 7,
10 2007. It is likely that Plaintiff has not been served with Defendants' motion, but the
11 Plaintiff bears sole responsibility for that in failing to keep the court and counsel
12 apprised of his current address. Plaintiff is in default.

13 A failure to timely file a memorandum of points and authorities in opposition
14 to any motion may be considered by the court as consent on the part of the party
15 failing to file such memorandum to the entry of an order adverse to the party in
16 default. LR 7.1(h)(5). Furthermore, pursuant to LR 56.1(d), in determining any
17 motion for summary judgment, the court may assume the facts claimed by the moving
18 party are admitted to exist except as and to the extent such facts are controverted by
19 a statement of material facts filed by the non-moving party. Plaintiff, the non-moving
20 party, has not filed a statement of material facts.

21 Based on LR 7.1(h)(5) and the uncontroverted statement of material facts (Ct.
22 Rec. 28)¹ and memorandum of points and authorities (Ct. Rec. 27) filed by the
23 Defendants, said Defendants' Motion For Summary Judgment (Ct. Rec. 26) is
24 **GRANTED**. The undisputed facts reveal that Defendants did not use excessive force
25 against the Plaintiff. Defendants are awarded judgment on the 4th Amendment
26

27 ¹ As supported by the affidavits of Paul Buchmann (Ct. Rec. 29), Shawn Pegram
28 (Ct. Rec. 30), and David S. McClellan, M.D. (Ct. Rec. 31).

1 excessive force claim asserted against them by Plaintiff in the Plaintiff's complaint.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Executive is directed to enter judgment
3 accordingly and forward copies of the judgment and this order to counsel for
4 Defendants and to the Plaintiff at his last known address: Darnell Riley, #967760,
5 Washington Correction Center, P.O. Box 900, Shelton, WA 98584-0974..

6 **DATED** this 3rd of March, 2008.

7 *s/Lonny R. Suko*

8

LONNY R. SUKO
9 United States District Judge